

**Town of Scituate
Conservation Commission
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room
Meeting Minutes
June 17, 2015**

Meeting was called to order at 6:18 p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Mr. Parys, Ms. Scott-Pipes and Mr. Schmid.

Also Present: Patrick Gallivan, Agent, Carol Logue, Secretary

Agenda: Motion to amend the agenda to include: 92 Clapp Road, Studley Farm planting plan e-mailed out yesterday, and 240 Central question Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Informal: Foley, 99 Glades Road (driveway)

Jack Foley was present. Had an approved plan showing proposed garage and house, gravel driveway now. Everything is built. There is some pavement along an area on the street side. Stormwater permit filed, took all calcs of pervious and impervious. How many square feet? Couple hundred. Why do you need asphalt? In-laws are moving in, medical mobility problem; still have open orders; all work is out of the 50' buffer. Will have to look at the calcs to see if the stormwater numbers work. A certain amount of the whole site was changed from pervious to impervious. The footprint would actually be less than what was there. Mr. Schmid: if there is a medical necessity and outside the 50' and calcs work, then OK. Show the square footage of the driveway and talk to John Zimmer about a planting plan.

Mr. Snow and Mr. Gallivan met with his son for an Eagle Scout project. Told his son run it by DPW to see what was going to be done with the parking lot. RDA or NOI? Will check with DEP to see if it is all right with them. From parking lot to 4' to 5' away is the creek that comes in, it is a mess already. That is the area he would like to slope. Minimal work and it is pretty disturbed with some rip rap that is there. Hopeful Pat can get a good answer from DEP, was think of an RDA, but it is in a sensitive area. With walking trails I know you can go through wetland areas. Pat will contact Jake to tell him what he needs to file.

Informal: Brigham / Minot Beach Association, Glades Road (erosion)

Leslie Brigham representing the North Scituate Beach Association. There is a trouble spot on Glades Road just before the 3 car parking lot, before Bailey's Causeway. Waves come up and disperse the material into the road. Would like to bring in a vein of boulders, and plant Rosa Ragusa on the street side and beach grass on the ocean side so the waves can have something to hit instead of soil and splash everything into the road. It wouldn't impede the road or the walkers. Met with Nancy Durfee took pictures of some of the holes there and Kevin Cafferty dumped brought some dirt in. Erosion is undermining the sidewalks. Next storm the dirt will all be in the middle of the road. Want it to look as natural as possible. Can we do with a MAP? Think it needs an RDA filing; it is in a flood zone. Need DPW's approval, file an RDA, and it may be the Board of Selectmen need to weigh in. Kevin had talked to the Selectmen. Nancy told them to come to Conservation. The Beach Association has done a great job.

Wetlands Hearing: Phippen Trust, 35 Dreamwold Road (total of 3 new lots)

Jeff Hassett was present at the hearing. Septics haven't been approved yet. Received John Chessia's report to Planning Board regarding stormwater, but it covers Commission's interests too. The Planning Board has approved the project. Minor items have been included as conditions, but have addressed all of John's comments. Detention basins within the 50' buffer have replaced rain gardens. This is a 5 acre parcel and the overall project is a 4 lot subdivision, with no subdivision road. There is an existing single family home, garage, pool and driveway. Rather than put in new driveways, there will be a common driveway off the existing one and the other two driveways will branch off to the two houses. Septics and houses will all be out of the 100' buffer. Only jurisdiction is portions of the common driveway, associated utilities, and stormwater basin. The basin is the only part within the 50' buffer and it is in an area that is currently grass at the front and will be replanted with whip grass, so no net impact. The reason the project was continued was to check the wetland as a possible vernal pool, but it was determined not to be one. Erosion control sheet was added to the plan set showing the erosion control barrier along the down gradient of the work, stabilized construction entrance, silt sack around catch basin on Dreamwold Road, and stockpiles stored outside the 100' buffer. Ms. Scott-Pipes: why are you going with detention basin instead of a rain garden? John Chessia stated that rain gardens are not supposed to be used for handling rates and volumes of runoff, mostly intended for treatment for water quality; have used them for handling runoff, but DEP's stormwater handbook states they are not suggested; but we agree it is the same concept. How big is it? Doesn't go beyond the tree line. Mr. Schmid: Even though John Chessia says there doesn't seem to be a way to keep it out of the 50' buffer, why can't it be? At the first meeting talked about plantings along the wetland area, applicant is not opposed to that. When work is done in the 50' buffer, we look for plantings, maybe all along the tree line. Mr. Snow: try and get some good plantings and clean up the other side, it is quite messy; pull the brush out and add some plantings. There is one remaining small rain garden, directing much of the treated water to the infiltration basin. Could condition the planting plan, or get it to us for the next meeting. Mr. Bjorklund: there are a lot of invasives, probably want to get rid of those before any new plantings. Close and set conditions at the next meeting. Motion to continue the hearing to July 1, 2015 at 6:35 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Trifone/Masker, 37 & 39 Collier Road (raze 2 dwellings/rebuild 1) (cont.)

Adam Brodsky was present at the hearing. Two outstanding issues, waiting to hear back from Natural Heritage; NOI didn't get into their system until late. There is an e-mail stating that that there are no issues; a no take letter will be forthcoming. The other issue was discussions with DPW regarding relocating the stormwater drain line. Do not need a stormwater report, nor treatment; just relocating the line, not changing the drain. Sean was looking for drainage calcs to size the line, either 6" or 8" and Bob Crawford has provided them. Will need to grant an easement to DPW over the property. Hoping to close the hearing and be allowed to work out those details with DPW and the receipt of the Natural Heritage letter. Line is below grade somewhere below the revetment, just tying back into the existing outlet for runoff from the

street. Stormwater is contained on site. Simply moving the outlet around the property. Will grant the easement and pay for all the work. Pat: they won't be able to start work before the issue with DPW is finalized and the line is moved. That's where the pilings are going. Add on order for DPW. Letter from Natural Heritage came in today. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Atty. Brodsky: thought 34, 36 & 38 Inner Harbor Road was going to be on the agenda tonight. Mr. Gallivan stated it needs to be advertised in the newspaper. It should go in in the beginning of next week. Have to rescind the order. Will be on for a vote on July 1, 2015.

Wetlands Hearing: NOAA, end of Sunset Road (improvements to parking area)*

Craig McDonald, Superintendent of the sanctuary was present at the hearing. Abutter's notification was submitted. Handed out pictures of the site. Seeking approvals to make improvements to the parking area. Acquiring the land at the end of the road will relieve some of the pressure of parking on private property and along the road. Need area to hold about 20 cars; 4 to 6 for CZM; 11 or 12 for Environmental Police and approximately 14 scientists. Some meetings have more than 50 people. Goal is to minimize change. Met with CZM and reps of the state. They recommended a berm built up 3', put in river rock and backfill to reconstruct the berm. Lot would be leveled, crushed stone added to provide good drainage; very muddy some of the year. Received a letter with reference to piping plovers, but it is another species of plovers that are not endangered. Letter from Rebecca Haney from 2008, could try grass. Another visit this year she suggested to use rock fill. Possibly a split rail fence and keep some kind of greenery around the edge. Some material will be removed and some added. How much square footage? Approximately 10,800 sq. ft. That triggers stormwater. DEP let the applicant know. Hopefully DEP will agree with the material recommended by Rebecca Haney. JoAnn Capler, 16 Sunset Road: didn't know about this meeting until a few hours ago. Many of us use the beach at the end of the road. Beautiful piece of land that many people use. Have lived there for many years and their parking lot is rarely full. NOAA is not looking to prevent access to the beach. Parking depends on the time of year and what is scheduled. Mary Jenkins: have to call the police because of kids; this will encourage a lot more traffic. Traffic will be sporadic. If people have the option to drive instead of walk, there will be more traffic; parking will be used much more. They can use the property weather there is river rock or grass. Don't know how we can stop that, but expect with the fence and gate this will discourage late night use of the property. Expect to renovate the boathouse to create lodging for 16 or 17 scientists. Many times we will have cruises. Is it going to bring 100s of people? No; 10s, yes. There will be two detachments of twelve environmental police at the site and if that happens they all have trucks and if there is a call they need to be able to get out. Planning to bring their 27' vessel here in July, when the dock is repaired. Now we have 2 to 3. Existing conditions plan and a schematic, enclosed. Are there elevations for the proposed work? Distribution Dave Slocum is facilities manager can explain that, if need be. Spaces will not be marked, but signage for 2 handicapped spots. What is going to happen to the area you don't change? Entire parking lot will be graded and crushed stone put down. Pat thinks it is an A flood zone and land subject to coastal storm flowage, doesn't have performance standards differed from a barrier beach; thinks that is why Rebecca said they could use crushed stone. Anonymous document included in the record; same was dropped at NOAA today. Elizabeth Patit, 172 Edward Foster Road: Love having NOAA as a neighbor, but this is the first we've heard about the parking lot. It would affect the whole neighborhood, especially with 12 additional environmental police. That's why we need additional parking. It is densely packed neighborhood right now. Mr. Snow: we should really be hearing about impacts to this resource, which is our issue. How it impacts the neighborhood the applicant can try to explain. Did this go somewhere else; something that could trigger the Planning Board or Zoning? They are parking on a grassed area now, not convinced that we don't need to see more of a plan. If it was a residence, we would need to see more information. But, is it better to plan for gravel or crushed stone or grass? That's our issue. However, we would like to see some buffers, parking anywhere we'd be looking for plantings and shrubs. NOAA is not entirely sure the police will be based there, it is under review. We feel it would be better to have it well drained, maintained, and relatively secure. Open to having some green around the split rail fence, however, we don't know how much latitude we will have in doing that. Originally we were going for grass, but because of drainage problems we looked into pavers, which CZM said wouldn't work. To address the issue of stormwater we needed better drainage so that it would drain out through the berm. We will consider how to beautify the area. Our goal is to make it attractive and fit with neighborhood. We have tried to be good neighbors. Mr. Mirabito, owner at 23 Henry Lane: In 20 years the dune has moved in 20', his concern is the property line is probably 20' off the corner of his building some of which may be in the V zone. Would like to see the property line staked, so we can see what vegetation would be removed. Taking grass out of there could tend to destabilize the area. When gravel gets compacted it is almost like asphalt. Need better grading plans and have to do stormwater. Would like to see a vegetative buffer at the corner cottage. Ask to look at perhaps paving Sunset Road. Mr. Schmid: Don't think we will be paving Sunset Road. Contracting with an engineer for stormwater. Commission would be reviewing. Need a sense of how it will be constructed. Spend some time with a couple of the abutters. Would like to get this going if approved. Motion to continue to July 1, 2015 at -at 7:10 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: McLean, 9 Oliver Street (elevate) (cont.)

Applicant requested a continuance to the next meeting, waiting for structural plans. Motion to continue to July 1, 2015 at 7:20 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Longman, 54 Oceanside Drive (elevate/FEMA Grant)*

Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutter's notification was submitted. House has a seawall, but has received severe damage. Will be the same footprint; it has a concrete patio with a vertical wall; entire structure in the VE flood zone; surveyed the property. Elevating 5' above existing floor. Existing 22.5, going to 27.8'. Complying with the 2013 FEMA map. There is a piling plan. Mr. Gallivan: lot of information missing, check off the boxes, barrier beach and coastal dune; look at performance standards for barrier beaches. Just going to pick the house up. Where are you with building and Nancy? Met with Nancy and Neil. They know this is coming. Have done the elevation certificate. In the process of getting bids from the contractors. Patio is staying. Just elevate house. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Cote, 38 Atlantic Drive (leaching field/shed/install landscaping) (cont.)

Paul Mirabito and Jeff De Lisi were present at the hearing. Paul redid the plan, goal was to prevent over wash onto abutting properties by lowering the area so it was lower than the walls on either side. Also restore slope to what was originally there east to west. Lowered system as much as possible; provided a rubber liner around the system; showed walls on abutting properties. Graded when water comes from the ocean there is a low area along the wall, 9" to 1-1/2' where the water will go. Proposed contour is 6" lower than the wall. Couldn't make it any deeper because of the septic system. Lowered system to 4' over water table. Reduced the cover over the system. The shape of the dune slopes

to Central Ave. Essentially we are putting the dune back to the original. The plan still shows the proposed boardwalk and walkway, planting plan is essentially the same. Matching grades on either side of Central Ave. The grading actually brings the slope much lower, restored closer to the original contours. The height of the walls is essentially controlling the grading. Still proposing to park? Yes, however, not calling it parking; keeping it clear like it always was Pat spoke with public works, and can park over the water line, as long as it is low enough. All along people are parking over water lines. Primary concern of abutters is how the drainage will be effected, not parking on the lot. Plan shows vegetated area and there will be no parking on vegetation. How far back from Atlantic will parking be? Probably 15'. Pat spoke to Neil Duggan about the shed and he said could add metal within the sonotubes but also said you should probably go to Zoning for the shed. If there is going to be a grassed area, maybe should put signs or post so parking doesn't creep into the grassed area. Any excavation on the ocean side? No the system is gone. Bill McKinnon, 168 Central Ave.: Shed was on the right hand, now beside him. Why? Owner just decided better utilization and the way cars pull into the lot. This shed is 20' x 10'. Doesn't have retaining wall and can't put one. The reason she flattened the land to move the house. Planted roses on the back of property, the roses have migrated onto their property, protected his property. Now you have a shed 200 sq. ft. can't have vegetation, Water comes in real fast, will come out onto his property. His house is 28' deep. 3/4 of his house will have a shed beside it. The point he is getting at there will be no vegetation. Not a retaining wall, it is like a curb. Serious with a shed that big. 1 is the velocity. Why did they need such a big shed? Has a 2' wall, anything can wash over it; shed is on sonotubes 2' above the ground. Has to be 8' off the property line and 30' off the street. The reason is 10' x 20' minimum without a building permit. Putting more vegetation in bow than prior. 2nd point as storms come through, the land builds up. If it builds up beyond the 2' then it will hit the shed. Robert Bronca: the green house: Wall extends 8" to 10", extended 15 houses until the no name storm in 1991. Atlantic Drive is elevated. When stand on Central Ave. Atlantic is not above his height. Some silting, some filling, most of the houses have a slight upgrade. Wall is silted in on his side. If you stand on Atlantic Drive it goes up 8". What we talked about was to get a consistent slope. Hoped to see some type of channel to match the contour on his side. Wanted 3' or 4' on Cote's side of the wall. The reason it can't be lowered that much is because of the septic. Septic is causing the condition of the grade. A little utility shed is far from 10' x 20'. Sympathetic to the septic problem. Pat: there will be information coming from Zoning. Commission's issue does the shed foundation meet our standards? It is a resource area. We have allowed sheds in this area provided they have the right foundation. Francis McKinnon: unbuildable lot why do they allow that huge shed? If the foundation meets the standards and can't build something that restricts flow. State says the last thing they want to see is a tight tank. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote. Will have orders for the next meeting.

Request for Determination: Howe, 92 Clapp Road (deck) (needs to be finalized)
Sending an Enforcement Order.

Request for Determination: Casey, 21 Harvard Street (rebuild existing front porch)*

Fred Higgins and Joe Casey were present at the hearing. Taking down the existing front porch; needs to be brought up to code with new footings. Proposed french footings, same footprint; trench type footing. Mr. Gallivan: is this the type of footing that is recommended? Have you talked to the Building Department? Should be mentioning the resource areas. It is on a barrier beach. Minimize any impact to vegetation. Restore any vegetation impacted. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Farnham / Raftery, 6 Emerson (railroad tie wall repair)*

Mr. Gallivan and a couple of members went out to the site. The wall needs repairing. Project is described on page 2; house was constructed in 1947. Only question would be if they could reach over from the land side. Jason Geary said they could repair from the land side. Just want to replace the railroad ties. Motion for a negative 3 - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Sutton, 170 Branch Street (septic repair)*

No one attended, waiting for Board of Health to review. Opened the hearing. Motion to continue to July 1, 2015 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request to Review Revised Plan: Twohig, 188 Central Ave.

There is an open Order of Conditions. This year driveway got destroyed by storms and they wanted to know if they could do the driveway over under the same Order and submit an as built that will show that. Commission will accept the as-built.

Village at South River, 8 Dartmouth Street: Discussion scheduled: flooding in the area, No Certificate has been requested yet. An abutter, John Cronin was present. Pat walked the site and there is no rain garden. Has to more reviewing. Mr. Solimando is getting ready to request the Certificate and has hired Grady Consulting. Commission should have an engineer look at the site. The plan we approved is not what is there; it has to do with stormwater calculations. Have to look at everything. Grady wants to go out this week to show us the elevations, etc. The TA suggested a meeting with Planning, Neil and herself. Mr. Parys: he put tanks I for the runoff instead of the rain garden. He said he would put it in if we wanted it. John Cronin: Submitted some of the background material. Essentially what happened is a very nice project that has been completed. Had an opportunity to work on a group to get the zoning bylaw written, worked with the developer, went to Zoning before it went to town meeting. Eventually settled and remanded to Zoning. They elevated the site by a couple of feet and never got Commission approval. Sheets wash off the site onto Dartmouth and 4" to 6" of water go into his driveway and garage. Twenty-seven years ago there was a permit to install two catch basins and a pipe in front of the restaurant to drain into the South River just south of Dartmouth Street. The new plan ripped it out. It did make his development nicer. No one paid any attention to the drainage being ripped out. Must be remedies. Not supposed to put water on other people's property. Took pictures 3 years ago; exactly the same now. Son built a 6" berm along his property. The berm worked. Major damage was done three years ago; don't think the elevation will be changed down 2'. Sally Coyle is very good landscape architect, but I doubt she did any calcs. Rain garden is not going to do it. The development has to meet the standards. If he would acknowledge there is a problem. He has had two or three engineers and now it is Grady. Mr. Parys: if he has raised the elevation 2' that nullifies all his stormwater calcs. First thing we need is an as-built. There was no site engineer for the Planning Board and one for the Commission. Is Planning Board still involved? Has to be reviewed. First we have to see if they built the project in compliance with the

approved plans. Nobody has any money or bond. Under stormwater we would definitely need to get money from the applicant. Didn't have a stormwater bylaw at the time. There was a site plan review. Since everyone wants to take a look at it, that is good. We are going to ask Mr. Solimando to give us money. There were still stormwater regs under WPA. Different entities that will have to deal with this. Look to see what was built. Collaborative meeting with Planning, Building and Tricia is a good idea. Good coastal meeting last night.

Certificate of Compliance: 87 Maple: think we can issue a partial. There is a bunch of stuff to do. His goal is to get it sold. Grassed, wetland plants are all in and trees are cut. Some plantings down in the tree area creating a meadow called for wetland seed mix, but it looks like a grass mix; want to talk to him about that. Wetland plants some got eaten by deer. Excavator and a couple of trailers.

99 Clapp: trees? Frank Snow still needs to get out there.

41 Cavanagh – Phil Spath's son house needs to do plantings.

Coastal presentation went well. Coastal Advisory Committee needs to determine if they have to go to Town Meeting for Committee status.

257 Country way – definitely too close. Met with him, strongly disagreed there was a problem. Send a letter. Water Resource problem.

10 Montvale: cleared. He's going to file; hired an engineer. Told Brad there were old wetland flags out there.

6 Atlantic: sent a picture of the dune today. If the material is not correct, he will have to take out; if it is correct he will need to file an after-the-fact Notice of Intent; he will need to file either way.

147 Jericho Road (update): stormwater issue he has raised the elevation. He has to file. Meeting him next Friday.

13 Bulrush: meet at site Thursday or Friday of next week. Tractor and kyacks in marsh; going to call tomorrow morning.

214 Clapp Road: Report from Brad Holmes says plants basically look good.

240 Central Ave: homeowners are allowed to pave over pavement, but if they want to dig it up, they would need at least an RDA. More storm damage with those driveway, but you have a right to have it, if you've had one all along. Might change in the future.

Letter from Rod & Gun Club re: fundraiser cookout Saturday, 5:30-9:30 Dale and the Duds for a band. Been good stewards of the property.

Plover signs Mass Audubon look store-bought. They seem to be working.

Order of Conditions: Trifone/Masker, 37 & 39 Collier Road (raze 2 dwellings/rebuild 1)
Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Longman, 54 Oceanside (elevate/FEMA Grant)
Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Minutes: April 15, 2015

Motion to accept the minutes of April 15, 2015 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

CORRESPONDENCE

June 4, 2015 – June 17, 2015

1. Planning Board Agenda for June 11
2. EOEa re: Hunter's Pond Dam Removal. CZM supports the request for a waiver of a mandatory EIR. (in file)
3. Fisheries & Wildlife re: Hunter's Pond Dam Removal. No final decision until the MEPA review process and public comment period is complete and until all required MESA review materials have been submitted. (in file)
4. Offer of property Parcel 35-02-09 – John Aceti - Class 132, Bk 28298 pg 39. 4 acres Cedar Swamp, Rear of First Parish Road. Not able to actually locate this property. TA would like advice by 6/17/15.
5. DEP File #68-2542 – Longman, 54 Oceanside Drive (in file)
6. Recording of CofC for 68-2356 - Burwick, 17 New Driftway (in file)
7. Planning Board re: Hazard Mitigation Plan monies.
8. Revised septic plans 6/10/15 for Cote, 38 Atlantic Dr. & Planting Plan (1 copy for members) for Central Ave. (in file)
9. Revised plans 6-9-15 showing exact footprint for proposed new dwelling at 13 Wheeler Avenue (in file)
10. Recording of CofC for 68-1646 - Popes Pond Cranberry Co., Inc. Lot 2 – 149 OOB (no work took place) (in file)
11. MJB Property Management re: Riverway Condominium Association's concern that a mudslide on the bus property next to the condos could be contaminating the marsh – picture enclosed (gave to Pat)
12. Recording of CofC for 68-1294 – Bjorklund, 15 Captain Daniel Litchfield Lane (in file)
13. Mosquito Control re: Gilson Road complaints. Reclamation will begin approximately 6/16/15 weather permitting
14. Revised plans for Cote, 38 Atlantic Drive and Central – Revision to the location of the proposed shed and septic plan revised 6/11/15 (in file)
15. Two Pictures of Peggotty Beach Parking Lot
16. MACC dues - \$530 + Pat = \$590.
17. Recording of 68-2535 – Griffin, 111 Turner Road (in file)

18. DEP re: 68-779 & 68-781 Superseding Orders due to expire 6/22/15 confirmed they have extended until 6/22/19 with the Permit Extension Act. (in files)
19. The Beacon
20. Deed for Damon Property, Clapp Road (in file)
21. Request to continue 9 Oliver Street (in file)
22. Contract Documents for 138 Edward Foster Road – Seawall Rehabilitation Project (in file)
23. Contract Documents for Oceanside Drive – 4th to 6th Ave. – Seawall Rehabilitation Project (in file)
24. ECR/Brad Holmes report on Benjamin Studley Subdivision, 214 Clapp Road – Basin #1 – good condition no signs of erosion or sedimentation. Still holding water. Plant plug planting in mounded areas above waterline. Rain Garden #1 & 2 – good conditions – needs weed whacking; Basin #2 – large good condition fall seeding may be necessary. (in file)
25. End of Sunset Road – Abutter issue – (copied for members)

Meeting adjourned 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Carol Logue, Secretary